SURVEYS INVESTIGATION

The most valuable information that a fishery research laboratory can have is a consistent body of data that may be used to evaluate secular changes in abundance, composition and distribution of the various species involved in any particular area. Analyses of catch statistics offers some crude estimates of these data but are all too frequently biased by poor areal coverage, selection of species and size of fish to be landed. Catch statistics do not supply any other data, such as that on hydrographic conditions, abundance of food and so forth.

With the acquisition of a new vessel, it is proposed that the laboratory set up a series of survey cruises, to be carried out periodically, for a number of years. The proposed surveys include the following:

- 1. Groundfish survey, quarterly
- 2. Hydrographic survey (in conjunction with #3), quarterly or twice a year depending upon specific needs of the Laboratory when new vessel is at hand
- 3. Plankton survey, as 2, above
- 4. Bottom community survey, quarterly and
- 5. Pelagic fish survey, no schedule as yet.

Each of these surveys is to be so designed and managed that the raw data will be prepared for publication prior to each succeeding cruise. Likewise, each survey will be modified slightly, if necessary, to provide specific information for various continuing investigations. Specific descriptions of the proposed surveys follow:

1. Groundfish Survey

Not enough is known about the distribution and abundance of the major commercial groundfish species. Far less is known about species of minor commercial importance, and knowledge of species exploited lightly or not at all is extremely scant. Without expanded knowledge of abundance and distribution of commercial and potential commercial species and their ecological associates, efficient exploitation and management cannot be effected. For these reasons it is desired to initiate and maintain a routine groundfish survey.

The objective of the survey is to measure the abundance and distribution of the demersal fish populations of the Gulf of Maine, Georges Bank and adjacent areas, to evaluate fluctuations and changes in abundance, and to relate these where possible to seasonal and long-term variation in hydrographic conditions.

The first phase of the investigation is to be the assessment of previous surveys and the development of the most efficient means of measuring abundance and distribution, whether by geometric grids of stations, random grids, transects, or by some other method. When this phase is completed and a research vessel is available (probably FY 1962), a regular series of quarterly cruises will be carried out for a minimum period of five years. Each cruise will involve trawling operations and collection of sufficient hydrographic

and meteorological information to relate the collected information on distribution and abundance to environmental conditions. When a sufficient number of cruises have been made and sufficient data have been collected, probably after about three years, a rigorous evaluation of methods and procedure will be carried out and procedures modified appropriately.

2. Hydrographic Survey

Hydrographic surveys provide the primary information about the physical environment of marine animals. Commercial and non-commercial species of fish flourish in proper physical conditions, most important of which are perhaps temperature and salinity. Unsuitable physical conditions can cause reductions in numbers and in growth rate, and, at the extreme, death. In addition, chemical factors, primarily qualitative and quantitative variations in dissolved nutrients, drastically affect the phytoplankton populations, the basis of the food pyramid. For these reasons it is necessary that the hydrography of the area under study be well understood, not only for its own sake but because the area is one of the richest in the world in living marine resources, and comparison with other less rich areas will reveal just which are the most important hydrographic factors contributing to its abundance.

The objective of this survey is to learn as much as possible about the hydrography of the area and to integrate this information with data collected by other survey investigations and with investigations in other groups.

3. Plankton Survey

The organisms of the plankton provide the essential link between the potential production of the area and its actual production. In addition, many of the exploited and non-exploited animals spend more or less of their early lives as members of the plankton community. There is strong evidence that the year class strength of most of the commercial fishes is largely determined during their vulnerable planktonic phase.

The object of the plankton survey investigation is to learn as much as possible about the relation of the plankton organisms to their physical environment and to one another, in order to assess the productivity of the area and in order to predict (and even possibly control) the year class strengths of the commercially important species well in advance of their entering their respective fisheries as recruits. While a good deal is known about the plankton of the area, most of the information is essentially patchy and there is no continuous record of the plankton and its fluctuations for any substantial length of time.

The method of procedure will be to run quarterly surveys to assess both qualitatively and quantitatively the kinds and amounts of plankton present in the waters of the area.

4. Surveys of Bottom Communities

Bottom living invertebrate animals are the major source of food for the commercially valuable groundfish of the area. Thus they have an important bearing on the geographic occurrence, growth and survival of the fish. Quantitative collections of these invertebrates are necessary to provide a basis for evaluating seasonal, regional and annual inventories of fish food supplies, particularly as they affect shifts in geographic occurrence and changes in growth rates and abundance of specific stocks of fish.

As a secondary purpose, these surveys will also provide the Woods Hole Laboratory with much-needed invertebrate specimens for life history studies of the invertebrates themselves--material of this sort is presently unavailable.

The basic method used will be quarterly surveys of the invertebrate bottom fauna of the area.

5. Pelagic Surveys

Pelagic fish, some of them migrating seasonally into New England waters, represent a commercial and recreational resource of unknown but potentially very large value. Except for herring, menhaden, mackerel, striped bass and some of the tunalike species, the pelagic fishes of the region are poorly documented. About 90 species of pelagic fish have been recorded from the Gulf of Maine, for example, and this does not include the groundfish, many of which are more or less pelagic at one time or another.

The recent discovery of commercial concentrations of tuna in waters bordering the continental shelf makes it even more important that we search out and evaluate the biological and commercial potential of the pelagic environment.

Since little is known about the fishes and of potential methods of capture, considerable exploratory work will have to be done, some of it probably in collaboration with other biological laboratories in the region and some with the Branch of Exploratory Fishing.

SURVEYS

List of Projects

- 1. Bottom Communities Survey
- 2. Pelagic Survey
- 3. Groundfish Survey
- 4. Hydrographic Survey
- 5. Plankton Survey

SUMMARY CONTF SCHEDULE

Investigation: Biological Laboratory:

Project Title Bottom Community Survey	Est.* Cost 87.0	57	238	59	F 09	Fiscal 61	Years 62 (1.5 2	2.0	元	65 66
									L	+++
				11						
							\prod	$\frac{1}{1}$	H	╁┤
				1		+		-	- -	-
				†					-	+
									+	-
Investigation To	Total. 87.0	1	1			 	1.5 2	22.0 20.5	5 22.5	5 21.5
									Date	9
Regional or Arca Office Was	Washington Office	Pre Rec	Prepared by: R. Recommended by:	by:	R. L. Edwards	Edwa	rds		8/6	7 F
		-	I ab Director	400		Harbert.	(Graham	8/6/59	750
		Re	g. or	Area	Dir	77	1		Co. Mr.	
		Br	nch (Chief	Branch Chief ()					
		App	Approved by:	by:						
					Division	ion (Chief	for Dia	Director	

*Total needed by Laboratory for Project in theusands of dollars.

Ludert getier de tembered.

AL SCHEDULE SUMMARY CON

Investigation: Biological Laboratory:

			Est	t.*				Fiscal	1 Years	rs			
76-41		Project Title	Cot	<u> </u>		-	_	61	,	63	₹9	-	99
Investigation Total. Annual Review Regional or Area Office Washington Office Recommended by: Repared by: Branch Office Approved by: Division Ohief for	elaric Survev		3L	, to ≥							Pholi	26.5	27.5
Investigation Total. Annual Review Regional or Area Office Washington Office Recommended by: R. L. Edwards Reg. or Area Dir Area Branch Chic. Branch Chic. Approved by: Division Chief for				\dashv	+	1	-	1					
Investigation Total 7644				+	-	_	_						
Annual Review Annual Review Regional or Area Office Washington Office Prepared by: R. L. Edwards Recommended by: R. L. Edwards Reg. or Area Director Herbert Washington Office Approved by: Reg. or Area Director Herbert Washington Office For				\dagger	+	1	_	_					
Investigation Total. Annual Review Regional or Area Office Washington Office Prepared by: Recommended by: Lab. Director Herbert of Chalant Reg. or Area Director Recommended by: Lab. Director Herbert of Chalant Reg. or Area Director				\dagger	+	+	1	-					
Investigation Total. Annual Review Regional or Area Office Washington Office Recommended by: Recommended by: Inb. Director Herbert Worksham Reg. or Area Director				\dagger	+	-	_	_					
Annual Review Annual Review Regional or Area Office Washington Office Recommended by: Lab. Director Herbert Worsham Reg. or Area Director Herbert Worsham Branch Chief For				T	+	_	_	_					
Investigation Total. Annual Review Regional or Area Office Washington Office Recommended by: Iab. Director Herbert W. Graham Reg. or Area Dir. Branch Chief Approved by: Division Chief for			***************************************		-			_					
Investigation Total. Annual Review Regional or Area Office Washington Office Recommended by: Recommended by: Inb. Director Herbert & Graham Reg. or Area Director Branch Chief Approved by: Approved by: Approved by: Division Chief for													
Investigation Total. Annual Review Regional or Area Office Washington Office Recommended by: Lab. Director Herbert W Graham Reg. or Area Director Herbert W Graham Reg. or Area Director Branch Chief for Approved by: Approved by: Approved by: Approved by: Division Chief for													
Investigation Total. Annual Review Regional or Area Office Washington Office Recommended by: Indeed the product of the propert of the parent of the propert of the property													
Investigation Total				-									
Annual Review Regional or Area Office Washington Office Recommended by: Recommended by: Lab. Director Herbert Worksham Reg. or Area Dir. Arukt Murks Branch Chief for Approved by: Approved by: Division Chief for													
Investigation Total. 76.44						-						1	
Annual Review Regional or Area Office Washington Office Recommended by: R. L. Edwards Recommended by: R. L. Edwards Recommended by: Lab. Director Herbert W. Graham Reg. or Area Dir. Marth March Branch Chief Approved by: Division Chief for				+	-	_	_					1	
Annual Review Regional or Area Office Washington Office Recommended by: Reg. or Area Dir Area Dir Area Dir Aran Division Chief for				\dagger	+	+		١.				1	
Annual Review Regional or Area Office Washington Office Recommended by: Recommended by: I.ab. Director Herbert W. Graham Reg. or Area Dir. M.				+	+	+	1	ļ.			-		
Annual Review Regional or Area Office Washington Office Recommended by: Lab. Director Herbert W Graham Reg. or Area Dir. 1. Edwards Reg. or Area Dir. 1. Edwards Reg. or Area Dir. 1. Edwards Reg. or Area Dir. 1. 1. Edwards Reg. or Area Dir. 1. 1. 1. Edwards Reg. or Area Dir. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		Investigati	Total.	to 97	-	-			•		7077	70°07	3
Regional or Area Office Washington Office Prepared by: Recommended by: Lab. Director Herbert W. Graham Reg. or Area Dir Area Washington Chief for Dir Approved by: Division Chief for Dir		Annual Review									,	Date	
Recommended by: Lab. Director Herbert W Graham Reg, or Arse Dir Arth Durk 2 Branch Chief Approved by: Division Chief for Dir	Laboratory	Regional or Area Office	Washington Office		Prepar	ed by		Le Ed	wards			8/6/5	9
or Herbert Worraham f f Division Chief for Dir				-	Recomm	ien ded	λą				1	Date	
e Dir Arathillanuris f Division Chief for Dir					Lab	Direct		erber		raham		3/6/5	9
f () Division Chief for					Regs	or Are			-1	mer	3	UG 19	59
Division Chief for					Branc	h Chi			1		,		
Division Chief for				7	Approv	red by		,					
								rision		for	Direc	tor	T
				+									
				_									7

SUMMARY CON JL SCHEDULE

Investigacion: Biological Laboratory:

			3st.*					Fiscal.		rs			
В	Project Title		Cost	57	239	59	09	19	9 79	63	t79	65	99
Groundfish Servey			71.8						ω •0	15.9	15.9	16.0	16.0
										-			
						Ì							
					T								
							j						
					1								
					1								
	Investigation	tion Total.	71.8	1	•			•	8.0		15.915.9 II6.016.0	0.90	97
	Annual Review											Date	
Laboratory I Re	Regional or Area Office	Washington Office	ce l	Pre	Prepared by:	ρΛ	Rel	Edi	L. Edwards		8	655	
_				Rec	Recommended by:	ded					,	Date	
				Lal	Lab. Director	rect		rber	Herbert W. Of ham	The barn	8	8/9/29	2
				Re	7. OI	Are		यु	2 2	2007	were 1 All 1959		195
				Br	Branch Chief	Chie	G.J	7	SULE		24	4-12	
				App	Approved by:	by:		777	É	7	7		
							Die	ision	Chie	f for	Division Chief for Director	tor	
			-										
						İ							

*Total needed by Laboratory for Project in thousands of dollars. August Aurung

meetigation has combined #724 7/9/59
ith appeares innertigations.

#71h 7/9/59

SUMMARY CON IL SCHEDULE

Investigation: Biological Laboratory:

		- 4				•			
	I	FST.		+		- 1	S		
	Project Title	Cost	57 58	29 60	0 61	- 62	63	64 (65, 66
Hydrographic Survey	1	9,92			7.0	7,66	15.8	15.0151	5115.8
			_						-
							-		
								-	-
				 			-		
			 					<u>. </u>	
				_	-		-	-	_
				-	-			-	
							∤- 	-	-
				١,	-		-	-	
					-		}.		
				_	-		- }	-	
								+	-
			1	1				+	
	Investigation Total	76.6	1	1	7.0	7.6	15.8	15.00.5	15.0h54 115.8
	Annual Review		•					Ä	Date
Laboratory	Office	Washington Office	Prepared by:		R. L. Edwards	ards		8	6/59
	_		Recommended by:	ed by:				Ä	Date
			Lab. Director	•	Herbert	q	Fr Graham	.6 Al	16 1956
			Reg. or Area	Area D	Dirach	4/11/4	wertle 2 1 Alls 1959	210	IG 1959
			Braych Chief		-			,	
			Approved by:	by:					
					Division	Chief	- 1	for Director	OF.
								İ	

*Total needed by Laboratory for Project in theusands of dollars.

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service Bureau of Commercial Fisheries

Sheet No. 1

Location: Woods Hole, Mass. Date: August 6, 1959 File No.

Research Project Outline

Title of Project:	Hydrographic Surve	ey, preliminary stud	lies	·····
Investigation Title:	Surveys			
Investigation Chief:	Vacant			
Project Leader:	Vacant Name	Title	Grade	-
Assistants: (Title	and Grade)			
Collaborators:				
Need for Information	TO LEATER D		alyze present data an for future routine su	
Objective: To	plan hydrographic	surveys.		
Method of Procedure:	:			
Phase 1:				
Phase 2:				

Hydrographic Survey

Filc No.:

Method of Procedure: (Contid)

Phase 3:

Estimated Costs: Total	l Needed by Laborato	ry for Complete Proj	cct 76.6
	FY 1959	FY 1960	FY 1961
Personal Services	es es	** 5 E**1	6.0
Other Expenses: Within Project			1.0
Lab. Adm. & Ser.		ent ent	\$10 PM
Lab. Total	==	\$100 best	7.0
Regional Office Washington Office			.07
Total			
Recommended Source of Fr		ad Regular K, Regular, Contribu	ted, etc.)
Estimated Date of Comple	tion: Phase 1 FY 61	;Phase 2_FY;Phase	3 FY ;Project FY
Recommended by: Originator <u>Vacant</u>			Date
Investigation Chief	Vacant		
Laboratory Director	Herbert W. Gra	ham	8/6/59
Regional Director	Joseph J. June	relia	8/19/59
Branch Chief	('		
Approved by: Division Chief for Dir	rector		

Remarks

(Continue on reverse side)

SUMMARY CO. OL SCHEDULE

Investigation: Biological Laboratory:

201010		* +51						Voona			
	Project Title	Cost	52	538	59	09	191	62 63	3 604	10 +	500
Plankton Survey		157.5				2	2.7 119	19.9 3	34.1 33	0 33	33.0 33.6 34.2
									. 579	.,	
							-			_	_
					_		-				
				1	+	+	-	-	+	-	_
			_	1	+	\dagger					
			_		-	-	-	-	-	-	
								-	_	_	
										_	
						-					_
									_		
	erkallenten den er er det en det en det en de en de en de en de en de en de en de en de en de en de en de en d		_								
					-	_					
							\dashv			_	
					-	-	-			+	
				1	1	1	+	1	+	-	-
	Investigation T	Total. 157.5	1	1			2.7 15	19.9 34.1		33.0 33.6 34.2	6342
	Annual Review									Date	91
Laboratory	· Area Office	Washington Office	Pre	Prepared by:	by:	R. L.	R. L. Edwards	rds		8/6/	22
			Rec	Recommended by:	led by					Ä	9
			La	Lab. Director	ector.		pert Y	Herbert Ma Graham		19/8	59
			Re	Reg. or	Arsa	\sim	7700	June 1		7 4	48 1 AUG 1950
			Er	Branch Chief	hief		4				
			App	Approved by:	ı						
					- 1	Division		Chief f	for Di	Director	
			•								~

*Total needed by Laboratory for Project in thousands of dollars.

highest seemen #714 1/9/59 inchestigation de condución with spicetis incressingation

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service Bureau of Commercial Fisheries

Sheet No. 1

Phase 2:

Location: Woods Hole, Mass. Date: August 6, 1959
File No.

Research Project Outline

Title of Project: _ H	lankton Survey	, preliminary plannir	ng
Investigation Title:	Survey		
Investigation Chief:	Vacant		
Project Tendens	Vacant		
Project Leader:	Name	Title	G rade
Assistants: (Title	and Grade)		
Collaborators:			
Need for Information	various : concerni	de necessary informatel laboratory investigating plankton and to proper the plankton the plankto	tions for information repare a properly
Objective: To pres	sent plan of ope	erations for the plan	nkton survey.
Method of Procedure:	,		
	•		
Phase 1:			

Plankton Survey Sheet No. 2

File No.:

Method of Procedure: (Cont'd)

Phas∈ 3:

Estimated Costs: Total	Needed by Laborat	ory for Complete Project	157.5
	FY <u>1959</u>	FY <u>1960</u>	FY 1961
Personal Services	53 (44)	tro too	1.2
Other Expenses: Within Project	QNE SIN		1.5
Lab. Adm. & S∈r.	000 (cd)	\$10 SM	P-9 C-4
Lab. Total	did are	844 CES	2.7
Regional Office Washington Office			027
Total			
Recommended Source of Fu Estimated Date of Comple	(E	Regular -K, Regular, Contributed, -Fhase 2 FY; Phase 3 F	
Recommended by: Originator Vaca			Date
Investigation Chief Va	cant		
		2011	^
Laboratory Director	Herbert W. Gral	laill	_8/6/59
Regional Director Branch Chief	Herbert W. Grad	refie	8/19/59

Remarks

(Continue on reverse side)